Influence of surface roughness and pressure-dependent viscosity on load carrying mechanism in micropolar fluid squeeze film lubrication between circular stepped plates
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, the influence of surface roughness and pressure-dependent viscosity on load carrying mechanism in micropolar fluid squeeze film lubrication between circular stepped plates is studied. The modified Reynolds equation is derived on the basis of Christensen’s stochastic theory, two types of one-dimensional roughness structures, namely the radial roughness pattern and azimuthal roughness pattern is studied. It has been found that the influence of coupling parameter, viscosity parameter, non-dimensional roughness, characteristic length etc. on non-dimensional pressure, load carrying capacity and squeeze film time have been studied. It is observed that, the effect of azimuthal (radial) roughness pattern on the rough circular stepped plate increases (decreases) the load carrying capacity and the squeeze film time as compared to the corresponding smooth case. Some numerical results are also provided in tables for engineer applications.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Eringen, (1966) presented the theory of micropolar fluids which deals with a class of fluids. These fluids can support stress movements, body movements and influenced by the spin inertia. The micropolar fluid is a subclass of these fluids which exhibit the micro rotational effects and micro rotational inertia. Eringen has gained considerable attention owing to their applications occurs in industries such as the extrusion of polymer fluids, solidification of liquid crystal, exotic


The relation between viscosity and pressure is analysed by the following relation Barus, (1893), Bartz and Ether, (2008).

\[ \mu = \mu_0 e^{\beta p} \]  

Where \( \beta \) denotes the coefficient of pressure dependent viscosity (PDV) and \( \mu_0 \) is the viscosity at ambient pressure and a constant temperature. Equation (1) indicates the lubricant viscosity is increasing exponentially and it could alter the predicted performance of squeeze film bearings. Naduvinamani et al., (2015) studied the effect of pressure dependent viscosity on squeeze film characteristics of micropolar fluid in convex curved plates. Hanumagowda et al., (2018) also
studied the effect of pressure dependent viscosity on couple stress squeeze film lubrication between porous circular stepped plates.

In the present article, we extend the work of Hanumagowda et al., (2016) to incorporate the influence of surface roughness and pressure-dependent viscosity on load carrying mechanism in micropolar fluid squeeze film lubrication between circular stepped plates. The modified Reynolds equation is derived on the basis of micropolar fluid theory. The effect of surface roughness on pressure, load-carrying capacity and squeeze film time are obtained.

2.0 MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Squeeze film between two rough circular stepped plates approaching each other with squeezing velocity \( V(=\partial H/\partial t) \), where \( H \) is the film thickness between the two plates. The geometry and coordinates of the problem under consideration is shown in Figure 1. The constitutive equations for micropolar fluid proposed by Eringen, (1966) simplify considerably under the usual assumptions of hydrodynamic lubrication theory for thin films Pinkus and Sternlicht, (1961) are

**Conservation of linear momentum:**

\[
\left( \mu + \frac{\chi}{2} \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \chi \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = 0
\]  

(2)

**Conservation of angular momentum:**

\[
\gamma \frac{\partial^2 v_3}{\partial y^2} - 2\chi v_3 - \chi \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0
\]  

(3)

**Conservation of mass:**

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( ru \right) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0
\]  

(4)

where \( u, v \) are the velocity components of the lubricant in the \( r \) and \( y \) directions, respectively, \( v_3 \) is the micro rotational velocity component, \( \chi \) is the spin viscosity, \( \gamma \) is the viscosity coefficient for micropolar fluids and \( \mu \) is the Newtonian viscosity coefficient.

The relevant boundary conditions for the velocity and micro rotational velocity components are

At the upper surface \( (y = H) \)

\[
u = 0, \ v = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}, \ v_3 = 0
\]  

(5a)

At the bearing surface \( (y = 0) \)

\[
u = 0, \ v = 0, \ v_3 = 0
\]  

(5b)

The fluid film thickness \( H \) is defined by
\( H = h_1 \) for \( 0 \leq r \leq KR \)
\( = h_2 \) for \( KR \leq r \leq R \) with \( 0 \leq K \leq 1 \)

3.0 SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

The solution of equations (2) and (3) subject to corresponding boundary conditions (5a) and (5b) are obtained in the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\forall & \quad u = \frac{y}{2\mu_0 e^{-\beta p}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} (y - H) + \frac{N^2}{m} \frac{H}{2\mu_0 e^{-\beta p}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \left[ \sinh(my) - \frac{(\cosh mH + 1)(\cosh my - 1)}{\sinh mH} \right] \\
\forall & \quad v_3 = \frac{D_2 \sinh(my)}{2(1 - N^2)} \left[ \frac{(\cosh(my - 1)}{\sinh(my)} - \frac{(\cosh mH - 1)}{\sinh(mH)} \right] + \frac{H}{2\mu_0 e^{-\beta p}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \left[ \sinh(my) - \frac{y}{\sinh mH - H} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

Where,

\[
D_2 = -\frac{(1 - N^2)}{2} \frac{H}{\mu_0 e^{-\beta p}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \quad \text{and} \quad m = \frac{N}{l}, \quad N = \left( \frac{\chi}{\chi + 2\mu} \right)^{1/2}, \quad l = \left( \frac{\gamma}{4\mu} \right)^{1/2}
\]

The modified Reynolds equation for the pressure in the film region is obtained by using equations (6) in integrating the continuity equation (4) with respect to \( y \) over the film thickness, \( H \) and also using the boundary conditions for \( v \) given in equations (5a) and (5b) in the form:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ f(N, l, H)e^{-\beta p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \right] = 12r\mu_0 \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}
\]
Where,
\[ f(N, l, H) = H^3 + 12l^2H - 6NlH^2 \coth\left(\frac{NH}{2l}\right) \]

The volume flow rate of the lubricant is given by
\[ Q = 2\pi r \int_0^H u \, dy \] (9)

Substituting the expression for \( u \) from equation (6) in equation (9) the volume flux is obtained in the form
\[ Q = -\frac{\pi re^{-\beta p}}{6\mu_0} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} f(N, l, H) \] (10)

To mathematically model the surface roughness, the fluid film thickness is considered to be made up of two parts
\[ H_i = h_i + h_s(r, \theta, \xi) \] (11)

Let \( f(h_i) \) be the probability density function of the stochastic film thickness \( h_i \). Taking the stochastic average of modified Reynolds equation (8) with respect to \( f(h_i) \), the stochastic modified Reynolds equation is obtained in the form
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ E(f(N, l, H)) e^{-\beta E(p)} r \frac{\partial E(p)}{\partial r} \right] = 12r\mu_0 \frac{\partial E(H)}{\partial t} \] (12)

Where,
\[ E(\bullet) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(h_i) \, dh_i \] (13)

For most of the lubricating surfaces, the Gaussian distribution for describing the roughness profile heights is valid up to at least three standard deviations. Following Christensen, the roughness distribution function is assumed in the following form
\[ f(h_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{35}{32c^7} (c^2 - h_i^2)^3 & \text{if } -c \leq h_i \leq c \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \] (14)
Where \( c = 3\sigma \) and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation.

In the context of Christensen’s stochastic theory for the hydrodynamic lubrication of rough surfaces, two types of one-dimensional roughness patterns are considered namely the radial roughness pattern and the azimuthal roughness pattern.

### 3.1 Radial Roughness Pattern

The one-dimensional radial roughness pattern has the form of long, narrow ridges and valleys running in the radial direction (i.e. they are straight ridges and valley passing through \( y = 0, r = 0 \) to form star pattern), in this case the film thickness takes the form

\[
H_i = h_i + h_i(\theta, \xi)
\]

And the average modified Reynolds equation (12) takes the form

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ E\left(f(N, l, H)\right)e^{-\beta E(p)} r \frac{\partial E(p)}{\partial r} \right] = 12r\mu_0 \frac{\partial E(H)}{\partial t}
\]

### 3.2 Azimuthal Roughness Pattern

The one-dimensional azimuthal roughness pattern on the bearing surface has the roughness structure in the form of long narrow ridges and valleys running in \( \theta \)-direction (i.e. they are circular ridges and valleys on the flat plate that are concentric on \( y = 0, r = 0 \)), in this case the film thickness assumes the form

\[
H_i = h_i + h_i(r, \xi)
\]

and the averaged modified Reynolds equation (12) takes the form

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{e^{-\beta E(p)}}{E(1/ f(N, l, H))} \frac{\partial E(p)}{\partial r} \right] = 12r\mu_0 \frac{\partial E(H)}{\partial t}
\]

Equations (16) and (18) together can be written as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ g_i(N, l, H_i, c) e^{-\beta E(p)} r \frac{\partial E(p)}{\partial r} \right] = 12r\mu_0 \frac{\partial E(H)}{\partial t}
\]

Where,

\[
g_i(N, l, H_i, c) = \begin{cases} E[f_i(N, l, H_i)] & \text{for radial roughness} \\ \left(E[1/ f_i(N, l, H_i)]\right)^{-1} & \text{for azimuthal roughness} \end{cases}
\]
and \( f_i(N, l, H_i) = H_i^3 + 12l^2H_i - 6NH_i^2 \coth \left( \frac{NH_i}{2l} \right) \)

using the non-dimensional quantities
\[
H'_i = \frac{H_i}{h_0}, \quad h'_i = \frac{h_i}{h_0}, \quad h'_r = \frac{h'_i}{h_0}, \quad r^* = \frac{r}{R}, \quad l^* = \frac{l}{h_0}, \quad c^* = \frac{c}{h_0}, \quad P^* = \frac{E(p)h_0^3}{\mu_0R^2(-\partial h/\partial t)}
\]
\[
G = \frac{\beta\mu_0R^2(-\partial h/\partial t)}{h_0^3}, \quad Q^* = \frac{Q}{R^2(-\partial h/\partial t)}
\]

In equations (10) and (19) the non-dimensional volume flow rate and Reynolds equation are obtained in the form

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r^*} \left[ g_i^*(N, l^*, H_i^*, c^*) e^{-Gr^*} r^* \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial r^*} \right] = -12r^*
\]  
(20)

\[
Q^* = -\frac{\pi r^* e^{-Gr^*}}{6} \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial r^*} g_i^*(N, l^*, H_i^*)
\]  
(21)

where
\[
g_i^*(N, l^*, H_i^*, c^*) = \begin{cases} E \left[ f_i^* \left( N, l^*, H_i^* \right) \right] & \text{for radial roughness} \\ E \left[ 1/f_i^* \left( N, l^*, H_i^* \right) \right]^{-1} & \text{for azimuthal roughness} \end{cases}
\]

and \( f_i^* \left( N, l^*, H_i^* \right) = H_i^{3*} + 12l^{2*}H_i^* - 6NH_i^2 \coth \left( \frac{NH_i^*}{2l^*} \right) \)

Reynolds equations in region I: \((0 \leq r^* \leq K)\)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r^*} \left[ g_i^*(N, l^*, H_i^*, c^*) e^{-Gr^*} r^* \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial r^*} \right] = -12r^*
\]  
(22)

Reynolds equations in region II: \((K \leq r^* \leq 1)\)
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r^*} \left[ g_i^*(N, l^*, H_i^*, c^*) e^{-Gr^*} r^* \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial r^*} \right] = -12r^*
\]  
(23)

where
\[
g_i^*(N, l^*, H_i^*, c^*) = \begin{cases} E \left[ f_i^* \left( N, l^*, H_i^* \right) \right] & \text{for radial roughness} \\ E \left[ 1/f_i^* \left( N, l^*, H_i^* \right) \right]^{-1} & \text{for azimuthal roughness} \end{cases}
\]
\[ f_1^*(N, l^*, H_1^*) = H_1^* + 12l^* H_1^* - 6Nl^* H_1^* \coth \left( \frac{NH_1^*}{2l^*} \right) \]

\[ f_2^*(N, l^*, H_2^*) = H_2^* + 12l^* H_2^* - 6Nl^* H_2^* \coth \left( \frac{NH_2^*}{2l^*} \right) \]

\[ H_1^* = h_1^* + h_s^*, \quad H_2^* = 1 + h_s^* \]

The relevant boundary conditions for the pressure

\[
\frac{dP_1^*}{dr^*} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r^* = 0
\]

\[
P_2^* = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r^* = 1
\]

\[
P_1^* = P_2^* \quad \text{at} \quad r^* = K
\]

\[
Q_1^* = Q_2^* \quad \text{at} \quad r^* = K
\]

where \( Q_1^* \) is the non-dimensional volume flow rate in region I and \( Q_2^* \) is the non-dimensional volume flow rate in region II.

Solving equations (22) and (23) using the boundary conditions (24), (25), (26) and (27) gives

Pressure in region I: \( \left( 0 \leq r^* \leq K \right) \)

\[
P_1^* = -\frac{1}{G} \ln \left\{ \frac{3G}{g_1^* \left( N, l^*, H_1^*, c^* \right)} + \frac{3G}{g_2^* \left( N, l^*, H_2^*, c^* \right)} + 1 \right\}
\]

Pressure in region II: \( \left( K \leq r^* \leq 1 \right) \)

\[
P_2^* = -\frac{1}{G} \ln \left\{ \frac{3G}{g_2^* \left( N, l^*, H_2^*, c^* \right)} + 1 \right\}
\]

The load carrying capacity is obtained in the following form:

\[
E(w) = 2\pi \int_0^{K} r p_1 dr + 2\pi \int_1^{K} r p_2 dr
\]

The non-dimensional load carrying capacity is obtained in the form

\[
W^* = \frac{E(w) h_0^3}{\mu_0 R^4 \left( -dh/dt \right)} = 2\pi \int_0^{K} r^* P_1^* dr^* + 2\pi \int_1^{K} r^* P_2^* dr^*
\]

\[
W^* = \frac{2\pi}{G} \left\{ \int_0^{K} r^* \ln \left[ \frac{3G \left( r^* - K^* \right)}{g_1^* \left( N, l^*, H_1^*, c^* \right)} + \frac{3G \left( K^* - 1 \right)}{g_2^* \left( N, l^*, H_2^*, c^* \right)} + 1 \right] r^* dr^* + \int_1^{K} r^* \ln \left[ \frac{3G \left( r^* - 1 \right)}{g_2^* \left( N, l^*, H_2^*, c^* \right)} + 1 \right] r^* dr^* \right\}
\]

The squeezing time for reducing the film thickness from an initial value \( H_2^* = 1 \) to a final value \( h_f^* \) is given by

\[
T^* = \frac{E(w) h_0^2}{\mu_0 R^4}
\]
\[ T^* = -\frac{2\pi}{G} \int_{h_1^*}^{r_1^*} \int_{0}^{\infty} \ln \left( \frac{3G \left( r^{*2} - K^2 \right)}{g_1(N, l^*, H_1^*, c^*)} + \frac{3G(K^2 - 1)}{g_2(N, l^*, H_2^*, c^*)} + 1 \right) r^* dr^* dh_2 \]

\[
- \frac{2\pi}{G} \int_{h_1^*}^{r_1^*} \frac{2\pi}{G} \int_{0}^{\infty} \ln \left( \frac{3G \left( r^{*2} - 1 \right)}{g_2(N, l^*, H_2^*, c^*)} + 1 \right) r^* dr^* dh_2 \quad (32)
\]

where

\[ H_1^* = h_2^* + h_3^* + h_4^*, \quad H_2^* = h_2^* + h_5^*, \quad h_2^* = \frac{h_2}{h_0}, \quad h_3^* = \frac{h_3}{h_0}, \quad h_4^* = \frac{h_4}{h_0}, \quad h_5^* = \frac{h_5}{h_0}, \quad l^* = \frac{l}{h_0} \]

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The variation of non-dimensional pressure \( P^* \) with \( r^* \) for different values of \( G \) with \( l^* = 0.3, h_1^* = 1.2, K = 0.7, \) and \( c^* = 0.1 \) for two values of coupling parameter \( N = 0.0 \) and \( 0.3 \) is shown in Figure 2 for both types of roughness patterns. It is observed that the effect of viscosity parameter is to increase the squeeze film pressure for both radial as well as azimuthal roughness patterns. Figure 3 shows the variation of non-dimensional pressure \( P^* \) with \( r^* \) for different values of \( c^* \) with \( l^* = 0.3, h_1^* = 1.2, K = 0.7, N = 0.3 \) and \( G = 0.04 \) for both types of roughness patterns. It is observed that the pressure \( P^* \) decreases with increase in \( c^* \) for radial roughness pattern, whereas \( P^* \) increases with increase in the values of \( c^* \) for azimuthal roughness pattern. The variation of pressure \( P^* \) with \( r^* \) for different values of coupling number \( N \) with \( l^* = 0.3, h_1^* = 1.2, K = 0.7, G = 0.04 \) and \( c^* = 0.1 \) is depicted in Figure 4 for both types of roughness patterns. It is observed that the effect of \( N \) is to increase \( P^* \) as compared to the Newtonian case for both types of roughness patterns. Further, the increase in \( P^* \) is more pronounced for the azimuthal roughness pattern as compared to radial roughness pattern.
Figure 2: Variation of non-dimensional pressure $P^*$ with $r^*$ for different values of $G$ and $N$ with $I^* = 0.3$, $h_0^* = 1.2$, $K = 0.7$, $c^* = 0.1$. 
Table 1: Numerical comparison between the results of Hanumagowda, (2016) and the present analysis (with $c^* = 0$) with $l^* = 0.3$, $N = 0.3$, $K = 0.6$ and $h_i^* = 0.2$.

I. Load carrying capacity $W^*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>Hanumagowda, (2016) $h_i^*$ = 1.5</th>
<th>Present analysis $c^* = 0$</th>
<th>$c^* = 0.3$</th>
<th>Hanumagowda, (2016) $h_i^*$ = 2.0</th>
<th>Present analysis $c^* = 0$</th>
<th>$c^* = 0.3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.78705 Radial 4.78705 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.69260 Radial 5.03817 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.93775</td>
<td>4.66688 Radial 4.66688 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.66688 Radial 5.03817 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.93775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.98612 Radial 4.98612 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.88362 Radial 5.25948 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.93775</td>
<td>4.85329 Radial 4.85329 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.85329 Radial 5.25948 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.93775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Squeeze film time $T^*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>Hanumagowda, (2016) $h_i^*$ = 0.6</th>
<th>Present analysis $c^* = 0$</th>
<th>$c^* = 0.3$</th>
<th>Hanumagowda, (2016) $h_i^*$ = 0.8</th>
<th>Present analysis $c^* = 0$</th>
<th>$c^* = 0.3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.29475 Radial 4.29475 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.23026 Radial 4.61191 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.61191</td>
<td>1.36860 Radial 1.36860 Azimuthal</td>
<td>1.36860 Radial 1.36860 Azimuthal</td>
<td>1.36860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.54014 Radial 4.54014 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.46927 Radial 5.89894 Azimuthal</td>
<td>5.89894</td>
<td>1.40995 Radial 1.40995 Azimuthal</td>
<td>1.40995 Radial 5.89894 Azimuthal</td>
<td>5.89894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.84558 Radial 4.84558 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.76629 Radial 5.26438 Azimuthal</td>
<td>5.26438</td>
<td>1.45564 Radial 1.45564 Azimuthal</td>
<td>1.45564 Radial 5.26438 Azimuthal</td>
<td>5.26438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.24923 Radial 5.24923 Azimuthal</td>
<td>4.15789 Radial 5.76802 Azimuthal</td>
<td>5.76802</td>
<td>1.50631 Radial 1.50631 Azimuthal</td>
<td>1.50631 Radial 5.76802 Azimuthal</td>
<td>5.76802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3: Variation of non-dimensional pressure $P^*$ with $r^*$ for different values of $c^*$ with $l^* = 0.3$, $h_i^* = 1.2$, $K = 0.7$, $N = 0.3$, $G = 0.04$.

Figure 4: Variation of non-dimensional pressure $P^*$ with $r^*$ for different values of $N$ with $l^* = 0.3$, $h_i^* = 1.2$, $K = 0.7$, $G = 0.04$, $c^* = 0.1$.

Figure 5 shows the variation of pressure $P^*$ with $r^*$ for different values of $l^*$ with $h_i^* = 1.2$, $K = 0.7$, $G = 0.04$, $N = 0.3$ and $c^* = 0.1$ for both types of roughness patterns. It is observed that the non-dimensional pressure increases with increasing values of $l^*$. Further, the increase in $P^*$ is more pronounced for the azimuthal roughness pattern as compared to the radial.
roughness pattern. It is observed that the effect of azimuthal roughness pattern is to increase the fluid film pressure. The variation of non-dimensional maximum pressure $P_{\text{max}}^*$ with $K$ for different values of roughness parameter $R$ with $l^* = 0.3$, $h_1^* = 1.2$, $G = 0.04$ and $N = 0.3$ is shown in Figure 6 for both types of roughness patterns. It is observed that the maximum pressure $P_{\text{max}}^*$ decreases with increasing values of $R$ for radial roughness pattern, whereas maximum pressure $P_{\text{max}}^*$ increases with increase in values of $R$ for azimuthal roughness pattern.

Figure 5: Variation of non-dimensional pressure $P^*$ with $r^*$ for different values of $l^*$ with $h_1^* = 1.2$, $K = 0.7$, $G = 0.04$, $N = 0.3$, $R = 0.1$.

Figure 6: Variation of non-dimensional maximum pressure $P_{\text{max}}^*$ with $K$ for different values of $R$ with $l^* = 0.3$, $h_1^* = 1.2$, $G = 0.04$, $N = 0.3$. 
4.2 Load-Carrying Capacity

Figures 7 to 11 shows the variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $h_i^*$ for different values of $G$, $N$ and $l^*$ for fixed $K$. It is observed that the non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ decreases with increasing values of $h_i^*$. The load carrying capacity is more for azimuthal roughness compared to radial roughness case. It is also observed that the non-dimensional load carrying capacity increases for increasing values of $G$, $N$ and $l^*$. Figure 8 shows that the load carrying capacity $W^*$ increases (decreases) for increasing values of $c^*$ for the azimuthal (radial) roughness. The variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $K$ is shown in Figure 10. It is observed that the non-dimensional load carrying capacity decreases with increasing values of $K$.

The relative percentage increase in the non-dimensional load carrying capacity $R_w$ is defined by

$$R_w = \left( \frac{W_{PDV}^* - W_{Non-PDV}^*}{W_{Non-PDV}^*} \right) \times 100.$$ 

Table 2 shows the variation of $R_w$ for different values $G$ and $c^*$ with $l^*$, $N$, $K$ and $h_i^*$.

![Graph showing the variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $h_i^*$ for different value of $G$ with $l^* = 0.3, K = 0.7, N = 0.3, c^* = 0.1.$](image)

Figure 7: Variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $h_i^*$ for different value of $G$ with $l^* = 0.3, K = 0.7, N = 0.3, c^* = 0.1.$
Figure 8: Variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $h_1^*$ for different values of $c^*$ with $l^* = 0.3, K = 0.7, G = 0.04, N = 0.3$.

Figure 9: Variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $h_1^*$ for different values of $N$ with $l^* = 0.3, K = 0.7, G = 0.04, c^* = 0.1$. 
Figure 10: Variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $K$ for different values of $c^*$ with $I^* = 0.3, h_i^* = 1.2, G = 0.04, N = 0.1$.

Figure 11: Variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $W^*$ with $h_i^*$ for different values of $I^*$ with $G = 0.04, N = 0.3, K = 0.7, c^* = 0.1$.

4.3 Squeeze Film Time

The variation of non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ with $h_j^*$ for different values of $G$ and $N$ for fixed $K$ is shows in Figure 12 to 15. It is observed that as values of $h_j^*$ increases, decrease in non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ is observed. Further, it is observed that the
non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ increases, for increasing values of $G$ and $N$. Figure 13 shows the variation of non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ for radial roughness and more for the azimuthal roughness. Figure 15 shows the variation of non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ with $K$ for different values of $c^*$. It is also observed that the effect of azimuthal (radial) roughness pattern is to increase (decrease) non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ for increasing values of $c^*$.

The relative percentage increase in the non-dimensional squeeze film time $R^*$ is defined by

$$R^* = \left\{ \left( \frac{T^*_\text{PDV} - T^*_\text{Non-PDV}}{T^*_\text{Non-PDV}} \right) \right\} \times 100$$

Table 2 shows the values of $R^*$ for different values $G$ and $c^*$ with $l^*, N, K$ and $h^*_l$.

Table 2: Variation of $R_{W^*}$ and $R_{T^*}$ with $G$ for different values $c^*$ with $l^* = 0.3, N = 0.3, h^*_1 = 1.2, K = 0.6, h^*_3 = 0.2$ and $h^*_f = 0.6$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$c^*$</th>
<th>$R_{W^*}$</th>
<th>Azimuthal</th>
<th>Radial</th>
<th>$R_{T^*}$</th>
<th>Azimuthal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01011370</td>
<td>-0.0404288</td>
<td>0.0260277</td>
<td>0.0270825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.00927388</td>
<td>-0.0382904</td>
<td>0.0249439</td>
<td>0.0353761</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.04833</td>
<td>2.06187</td>
<td>5.71249</td>
<td>5.9629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.91174</td>
<td>2.52222</td>
<td>5.5005</td>
<td>8.05252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.22566</td>
<td>4.31207</td>
<td>12.7855</td>
<td>13.4235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.11217</td>
<td>4.63973</td>
<td>12.5849</td>
<td>15.3255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.93588</td>
<td>5.30107</td>
<td>12.2763</td>
<td>19.1141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12: Variation of non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ with $h_f^*$ for different values of $G$ with $l^* = 0.2, K = 0.7, c^* = 0.1, h^*_3 = 0.2, N = 0.3$.

Figure 13: Variation of non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ with $h_f^*$ for different values of $c^*$ with $l^* = 0.2, K = 0.7, h^*_3 = 0.2, N = 0.3, G = 0.02$. 
Figure 14: Variation of non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ with $h_f^*$ for different values of $N$ with $l^* = 0.2, K = 0.7, c^* = 0.1, h_3^* = 0.2, G = 0.02$.

Figure 15: Variation of non-dimensional squeeze film time $T^*$ with $K$ for different values of $c^*$ with $l^* = 0.2, G = 0.02, N = 0.3, h_3^* = 0.2, h_f^* = 0.6$. 
CONCLUSIONS
Based on the analysis of pressure distributions, the following important conclusions were drawn:

(a) The effect of pressure-dependent viscosity provides an increase in the pressure, load carrying capacity and squeeze film time for the circular stepped plates as compared to iso-viscous lubricant case.

(b) The one-dimensional azimuthal (radial) roughness patterns on the circular stepped plates increases (decreases) the load-carrying capacity and squeeze film time as compared to the corresponding smooth case \(c^* = 0\).

(c) The maximum pressure, load carrying capacity and the squeeze film time decreases with increasing values of \(K\).

(d) The dimensionless pressure, load carrying capacity and squeeze film time increases with increasing values of viscosity parameter \(G\), coupling parameter \(N\) and micropolar parameter \(l^\prime\).

(e) The results are validated with the available results in the literature and found to be good improvement as per the stochastic theory of lubrication is concerned.
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